Fe-Al-Si coatings for the Protection of Steel Against High-temperature Oxidation
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Fe-Al-Si alloys based on Fe-Al, Fe-Si and ternary Fe-Al-Si phases have been recently proved as the materials highly resistant to high-temperature oxidation. However, high brittleness limits their application as bulk materials. Therefore, this work aims to test them as the protective coatings for the carbon steel and to test the high-temperature oxidation resistance of the coated samples. The coatings by FeAl32, FeAl35Si5 and FeAl20Si20 alloys were produced unconventionally by the combination of mechanical alloying and spark plasma sintering. It has been shown that the best resistance against the oxidation in the air at 800 °C was reached in the case of the FeAl35Si5 coating. Fe-Al binary alloy coating oxidized more rapidly, while the FeAl20Si20 coating tended to delaminate.
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1 Introduction

The history of iron intermetallics reaches back to 1890’s, when the positive effect of aluminium was reported by Borsig [1]. The high-aluminium steels were brittle and so the research on iron aluminate materials continued a bit longer in 1950’s [2]. In that period, the Fe-Al-C alloys were developed, being processed by casting. However, the alloys contained AlC3 aluminium carbide, which decomposes to methane under certain conditions. Hence the current research is focused mostly on the low-carbon or carbon-free aluminium based materials. Many alloying elements have been tested recently, such as chromium, niobium or zirconium [3,4]. Our team developed Fe-Al-Si alloys, which showed excellent oxidation resistance in air and thermal stability of mechanical properties at the temperatures up to 1000 °C [5]. However, the alloys are inherently brittle due to the presence of hard but brittle silicides. The use of mechanical alloying enabled to obtain ultrafine material with improved fracture toughness, but the value (3.50 ± 0.33 MPa.m^{1/2}) [6,7] is still too low for many applications. Therefore, this work aims at testing the applicability of these ultrafine Fe-Al-Si materials as coatings. In order to keep the advantages of ultrafine structure, we used mechanical alloying for the powder production. The prepared powder was trasformed to a coating by the means of spark plasma sintering method, which is a modern process for sintering of materials [8]. The application of this method for coatings and layered systems is very unusual and innovative [9].

2 Experimental

The alloys coatings of the chemical composition FeAl32, FeAl35Si5 and FeAl20Si20 (in wt. %) were prepared on the substrate of a carbon steel (EN 1.1141) by non-conventional powder metallurgy process. It comprised the ultrahigh energy mechanical alloying procedure developed by authors and spark plasma sintering. Ultra-high energy mechanical alloying uses the highest energy possible, employing also high friction force and corresponding temperature increase to synthesize intermetallics by thermally activated reactions [10]. Therefore, lubrication was not used during this process. It was carried out in planetary ball mill Retsch PM 100 CM under following conditions - a milling duration of 10 h, change of rotation direction each 30 min, a rotation speed of 400 rpm, powder batch per one milling process 20 g, ball-to-powder weight ratio of 15:1. Material of the milling jar and balls made of stainless steel and the milling jar was filled by argon during the process. For mechanical alloying, following elemental powders were applied: iron (purity 99.9%, particle size <44 µm), aluminum (purity 99.7%, particle size <44 µm) and silicon (purity 99.5%, particle size <44 µm). The alloy powders produced by mechanical alloying were applied on all surfaces of the carbon steel disc in the graphite die and sintered by spark plasma sintering using a FCT HP D10 device. Conditions for sintering were following: pressure of 48 MPa, temperature of 1000 °C, duration of 10 min, heating rate 300 °C/min, cooling rate 50 °C/min, batch for sintering was 5 g.

Microstructure of the alloy coatings was studied on the cross-cuts by the means of TESCAN VEGA 3 scanning electron microscope in the backscattered electrons mode (SEM-BSE). Phase composition was determined by X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro X-ray diffractometer.

Cyclic oxidation tests were carried out at 800 °C in air. The oxidation rate was determined from weight gains caused by oxidic formation on the surface of thermally exposed samples. The duration of one oxidation cycle was 50 h and the total cycle was 300 h. After each cycle, samples were air-cooled, weighed by analytical balance (d = 0.0001 g), and heated again to the test temperature. Oxidation is usually controlled by the diffusion of oxygen or oxidized element through the oxidic layer, and therefore the results were fitted by the parabolic law, presented by Equation (1):

\[
\left(\frac{\Delta m}{A}\right)^2 = kp \cdot t
\]

where kp, ∆m, A, and t are parabolic rate constant (g·m^-2·s^-1), weight gain (g), exposed area (m²) and duration of oxidation (s), respectively [7].

Microstructure of the oxidic layers and their chemical composition were evaluated on the cross-cuts by the means of SEM-BSE and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) using Oxford Instruments X-max 20mm² detector.
and Aztec software package. Phase composition of the oxidic layers was identified by XRD.

3 Results and Discussion

Microstructure of the prepared coatings is shown in Fig.1. It can be seen that the FeAl layer is more porous than the Fe-Al-Si ternary ones due to worse sinterability of the FeAl phase. All of the alloy coatings contain sporadic cracks, probably due to a difference in thermal expansion of the coating and the steel substrate. In all layers, there is also an inner sub-layer, which was identified by EDS as the aluminium-rich ferrite phase (disordered bcc structure), containing 6 - 7 wt. % of aluminium and up to 7 wt. % of silicon, depending on the alloy composition. This layer, originating from mutual diffusion of iron from the steel and aluminium and silicon from the layer, can act as the secondary oxidation protection, because aluminium is frequently added to the heat resistant steels in order to improve the oxidation resistance.

![Microstructure (SEM-BSE) of the coatings: a) FeAl32, b) FeAl35Si5, c) FeAl20Si20](image1)

![XRD patterns of the coatings.](image2)

The oxidation kinetics of the coated steel is presented in Fig.2 in comparison with the uncoated one. It can be seen that all of the coatings improved the oxidation resistance of the steel. The most positive effect have been registered in case of the FeAl35Si5 alloy coating. The oxidation rate of both FeAl and FeAl20Si20 was higher, but still much lower than that of the uncoated steel. From the kinetic curves in Fig.3 it seems that the dependence of the specific weight gain on the oxidation duration of the coated steel is approximately parabolic, while the one for the the uncoated steel is almost linear. It preserves that the oxidation of the uncoated material is controlled by the rate of the chemical reaction, while the coated ones probably oxidize in a mode controlled by diffusion of oxidizing elements (mostly aluminium) through the oxide layer, as confirmed also for bulk materials of the same composition [7,11]. Therefore, the kinetic curves were fitted by the Eq. (1) for the coated materials. The parabolic rate
constants are following: $2.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g}^2\text{m}^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ for FeAl32, $3.8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ g}^2\text{m}^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ for FeAl35Si5 and $1.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g}^2\text{m}^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ for FeAl20Si20 coating. It confirms really slow oxidation of FeAl35Si5 coating, while the FeAl32 layer and FeAl20Si20 oxidized by rate of the same order, even though the FeAl32 coating was quite porous and the FeAl20Si20 one was more homogeneous with sporadic cracks only (Fig. 1).

XRD analysis of the oxide layers revealed aluminium oxide (cubic $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$) as the main oxidation product of the coated samples (Fig. 4). The other oxidation products of the coated samples are FeO and Fe$_2$O$_3$, depending on the alloy composition. Iron oxides are known to lower the protective effect of the oxidic layers [11]. From the intensity of the diffraction lines it could be assumed that the lowest amount of iron oxides is in the oxidic layer on the FeAl35Si5 coating. It was proved by the chemical analysis by the EDS method (Tab. 1).

The differences in the oxidation behaviour can be explained clearly on the basis of the observation of the microstructure of the coated samples in a cross-cut (Fig. 5). The oxidic layer on the uncoated steel is porous and not adherent (Fig. 5d) and hence it cannot provide any surface protection. Therefore the oxidation is controlled just by the rate of the chemical reaction without the limitation by diffusion of reactants. On the other hand, there are only very thin oxidic layers on the coated samples (Fig. 5a-c). In addition to the continuous thin aluminium-rich oxidic layer, there are also various forms of internal oxidation visible in coated samples.

**Fig. 3.** Specific weight gain caused by oxidation at 800 °C vs. oxidation duration

**Tab. 1.** Chemical composition of the oxidic layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeAl</td>
<td>37.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>33.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeAl35Si5</td>
<td>51.6 ± 3.1</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>39.5 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeAl20Si20</td>
<td>46.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>10.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>36.8 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncoated</td>
<td>75.5 ± 5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.5 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4 XRD patterns of the coatings after oxidation at 800 °C.**
In the case of FeAl32 coating, the particles of oxide are located mostly in the coating in the vicinity of the pores and cracks (Fig. 5a). Similar situation is in the case of the FeAl3SSi5 layer but with limited areas of oxides (Fig. 5b). On the contrary, the FeAl20Si20 coating does not contain almost any oxidized areas inside, but there is a nearly continuous oxidic layer (iron oxide) below the oxidic layer (Fig.5c). This layer results in the spallation of the coating. The reason for the internal oxidic layer below the coating lies in numerous cracks in the oxidic layer, being probably caused by low thermal expansion coefficient of the coating based mostly on silicides in comparison with the steel.

4 Conclusions

This paper proved that the Fe-Al-Si layers could be beneficial for the improvement of the high-temperature oxidation resistance of a steel, especially the coating with higher aluminium-to-silicon ratio. The suitable coating (FeAl3SSi5) can lower the oxidation rate of carbon steel more than 10 times. The oxidation behaviour was tested on the samples coated by the innovative method, which is good for laboratory tests and in the case of practical application only for smaller flat products. For the industrial application, the other available techniques, such as thermal spraying or plasma surfacing, have to be used.
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