Study of Sintering Parameters and Sintering Additives Effect on selected properties of Silicon Nitride
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The subject of this article is the study of influence of sintering time and sintering additives on mechanical properties and wear resistance. Si₃N₄ with Al₂O₃+Y₂O₃ additives (YAG) and Si₃N₄ with MgO additives was used as an experimental materials. Compositions sintered for 30 min achieved optimal combination the hardness and fracture toughness – 15.05 GPa and 6.87 MPa.m⁰. The specimen with the highest hardness achieved the highest wear resistance. Wear resistance of ceramics decreased with the grain growth and with the transformation progress of narrow α- Si₃N₄ phase to prismatic β- Si₃N₄ phase. The wear resistance of the studied ceramics can be described by model V ~ HV¹. Si₃N₄-YAG in comparison to Si₃N₄-MgO has several times greater wear resistance.
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1 Introduction

An important position of silicon nitride in the industry is given by its properties, especially by its hardness and wear resistance, room and high-temperature strength (up to 1 400°C) and by its resistance to corrosion and creep [1,2]. Mechanical properties and oxidation resistance of Si₃N₄ depend to a great extent on the character and from the quantity of the secondary phase, i.e on the sintering additives of the material [3, 4, 5]. The maximum application temperature, for example, is 1 350°C in the case of sintering additives Al₂O₃ + Y₂O₃, whereas it is only 1 000°C in the case of MgO. However, the most important disadvantage of the ceramics is brittleness. It is well known, that due to the bad compactibility of silicon nitride, oxide additives are used. Thus, such oxide additives as MgO, Al₂O₃ and Y₂O₃ are most commonly used for formation of such phases, as SiAlION, MgSiO₄ and MgSiO₆ [6].

Si₃N₄ has been successfully used in a wide variety of engineering applications involving contact with metallic surfaces such as drawing dies, roller bearings, cutting tools, and automotive or aerospace engine parts [7,8]. Abrasive wear is the most common mechanism of ceramic material removal. Two basic mechanisms of surface damage are applied during the abrasive wear of ceramics: microcutting and microcracking [8-10].

The mechanisms of microcutting can be described according to a model that comes from Rabinowitz’s conception of abrasive wear mechanism description [11]. In this model the abrasive particle in the cone shape makes grooves on the surface of the solid body. The removed material volume V can be described by equation (1):

\[ V = \frac{Fl}{\pi tg \alpha HV} \]  

where F is the force necessary to get the abrasive particle into the abraded material, l is the length of a groove on the surface if the cone moves during relative motion in parallel with the worn material surface, HV is the hardness of ceramics, and \( \alpha \) is the angle of the cone which participates in the grooving of the surface. It follows from equation (1) that the removed material volume is dependent only on one material property, hardness HV.

If we consider the mechanism of microcracking, the abrasive particle creates a crack in the plane of the load axis after the overrun of the specific limit value of the load. Cracks spread to the sample surface, where they can develop into a fracture [9, 12]. The volume of wear V can be expressed by means of equation (2).

\[ V = \frac{F^{9/8}}{K_I^{1/4} HV^{1/4}} \left( \frac{E}{HV} \right)^{3/8} l \]  

In this equation (2) l is length of path of abrasive particle, F is load on the abrasive particle, \( K_I \) is fracture toughness of the ceramics, HV is the hardness of the ceramics and E is the modulus of elasticity of the worn ceramic material. According to equation (2) the removed material, volume V, is dependent on three material properties: the modulus of elasticity E, the fracture toughness \( K_I \) and hardness HV.

According to some works [11,13] the ratio of \( K_I/\)HV values appears as the main characteristic determining dominant wear mechanisms of brittle materials during abrasive wear. This parameter defines the dominant wear mechanism at the point of contact. The mechanism of microcutting is dominant at a high value of this rate and simultaneously at a high value of fracture toughness, i.e. wear volume will be depended on hardness (V~1/HV). Brittle fractures dominate at a low value of the rate \( K_I/\)HV, i.e. wear will increase with decreasing fracture toughness (V~ \( K_I/\)HV). This influences the growth of wear intensity. According to equations (1) the intensity of microcutting decreases with the hardness of ceramics, and according to equation (2) the intensity of microcracking decreases with fracture toughness of the worn surface. This can lead to a transition from plastic microcutting to brittle microcracking during abrasive wear [8]. Besides the mechanical properties of ceramics, the character of the structure like grain size and β-Si₃N₄ phase ratio plays an important role in determining how the ceramics will react.
to specific states of stress which arise under specific conditions of wear [9,14].

2 Experiment

The experimental materials was prepared by hot pressing in nitrogen atmosphere. The hot pressing of the experimental materials was performed on a laboratory hot press with a special construction of heating body [15], under the following conditions: the temperature 1 680 °C, the pressure 34 MPa.

For the study were choosen two chemical composition of ceramic samples Si₃N⁴ - 10%YAG and Si₃N⁴ - 5%MgO. Three various sintering time 5, 30 and 40 min were applied. In view of the fact that Si₃N⁴ ceramics has extremely low diffusional coefficient which is caused by a strong covalent bond between Si- and N- atoms and low temperature of Si₃N⁴ decomposition at normal pressure, it isn’t possible to reach Si₃N⁴-fulldense material only by sintering in solid phase [16,17]. It is necessary a presence of sintering additives to acquisition of a fulldense material. During a sintering these additives react to SiO₂ and they create a crystalline phases to each other, which support a sintering process [18]. The sintering additives Y₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ were added to prime Si₃N⁴ powder because of creating yttrium aluminium garnet Y₃Al₅O₁₂ (YAG). This phase contributes to the sintering ability of Si₃N⁴ ceramics.

In this article the influence of pressing time on the structure, density and selected mechanical properties (hardness, fracture toughness and wear resistance) was studied.

Fig. 1 The test equipment

Densities of the hot pressed ceramics were measured by the Archimedes’s method. Hardness and fracture toughness were determined by means of the Vickers indentation method. The wear resistance was evaluated by means of grinding the sample using a pin on disk method [19]. Test samples with diameters of 8.4 mm and a height of 10 mm were placed in contact with corundum grinding paper with a graininess of 120 μm. The grinding trajectory was 125 m and the pressure was 1.5 MPa. Sliding speed max. 0.5 m.s⁻¹, radial movement 1.5 mm/ot, dry friction. For experiments was used the equipment for abrasive wear testing (Fig. 1). The wear resistance was determined based on the volume loss of the samples relative to the grinding trajectory according to equation (3):

\[
V_{Vs} = \frac{\Delta m \cdot l}{\rho \cdot \Delta t},
\]

where \( V_{Vs} \) is volume loss of the samples, \( \Delta m \) is weight loss of the samples, \( \rho \) is density of the sample and \( l \) is grinding path of the sample. The wear resistance was determined based on the volume loss of the samples relative to the grinding trajectory. The microstructures of the hot pressed ceramics were observed using a scanning electron microscope JEOL IT- 300-LV.

3 Results and discussion

Fig. 2 Microstructure of Si₃N⁴ ceramics with 10 wt.% YAG sintered a) 5 min, b) 30 min

Fig.2 represents the microstructure of Si₃N⁴ – YAG sintered for 5 and 30 min. The microstructure Si₃N⁴ – YAG contains equiaxed matrix α-Si₃N⁴ grains and large elongated β-Si₃N⁴ grains too. Along the boundary Si₃N⁴ phase is created crystalline phase Y₃Al₅O₁₂/YAG/ which
is brittle. In this figure it is possible to see the microstructure sintered for 5 min (Fig. 2a) with small grains and the microstructure after the sintering time prolonged over 30 min (Fig. 2b) which contains large grains of $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$. These microstructures represent growth of crystals during sintering – the large grains developed with increased sintering time.

In the Fig. 3 the microstructure of Si$_3$N$_4$–MgO sintered for 5 and 30 min is represented. Alike as the microstructure Si$_3$N$_4$–YAG, the microstructure Si$_3$N$_4$–MgO is created with equiaxed matrix $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ grains and large elongated $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ grains. There is the glass secondary MgSiO$_3$ phase along the boundary Si$_3$N$_4$ phase. The glass phase was created during a sintering in presence of liquid phase by the reaction MgO with free Si at the temperature ~1 450°C [20]. The large grains developed with increased sintering time.

In the Fig. 4 is showed the relative density of Si$_3$N$_4$–MgO and Si$_3$N$_4$–YAG ceramics as a function of sintering time for 5, 30 and 40 min. In Si$_3$N$_4$–MgO ceramics samples, the values of relative density declined slightly from 97.85% to 97.46% of theoretical density.

For composition with YAG, the values of relative density was in range from 96.02% to 98.5% of theoretical density till 30 min. The prolongation of sintering time (till 30min) had positive effect on the density. However, the density had a tendency to decrease as the sintering time prolonged over 30 min. It could be caused by complete phase transformation to 30 min.

Registered indentation cracks in Si$_3$N$_4$ with MgO additive were of Palmqvist’s type, unlike those in Si$_3$N$_4$ with Al$_2$O$_3$ + Y$_2$O$_3$ additives, which are of half-penny shape. Palmqvist’s type is characteristic for tougher ceramic materials. Fig. 6 indicates the relationship between fracture
toughness and pressing time. For both materials to 30 minutes the fracture toughness was increasing. In samples Si₃N₄ with MgO after achieving of maximum values (at 30 min) KIC began to decrease. Prolongation of sintering time (to 40 min) in samples with YAG values KIC increased slightly. It has been reported that the hardness of α-Si₃N₄ single crystals was higher than that β-sing crystals. The large elongated grains with high aspect ratio deflect the propagation of cracks, thus increasing the fracture toughness of ceramics.

![Fig. 6 Dependence of fracture toughness on the time of pressing](image)

The values of KIC increased with increasing of elongated β-Si₃N₄ grains volume content. However, it was expected that fracture toughness will eventually be limited by other factors. The abnormal grain growth of β-Si₃N₄ decreased the fracture toughness because very large grains affect as the origin crack propagation. Also, with β-Si₃N₄ content increase from 0 to 65%, the fracture toughness increased too. After saturation up to 65% of β-content, the KIC values began to decrease [23].

The effect of the additional concentration and sintering time on the wear of ceramic samples can be seen in Fig. 7. From this figure it can be seen that the volume change during the wear test decreased with the increasing of the additions and with sintering time. The highest wear resistance was achieved in the 10% YAG sample, which was pressed for only 5 min, and the least wear resistance was with the 5% MgO pressed for the longest time of 40 min.

![Fig. 7 Dependence of volume loss on the time of pressing](image)

Hardness had a positive effect on wear resistance for both materials (Fig. 8). Higher hardness resulted in less wear. As the highest hardness was measured in the ceramics with 10% YAG that was pressed for 5 min. These samples had the smallest volume losses. The highest volume losses were measured in the 5% MgO samples pressed for 40 min. These samples had the smallest hardness. The results in Fig. 9 correspond well with model (V ~ HV⁻¹), where the volume losses V during the wear tests vary inversely in proportion to the hardness HV of the ceramics.

![Fig. 8 Dependence of volume loss on hardness](image)

A very interesting development was noted when the effect of fracture toughness on wear was measured (Fig. 9). Compositions showed the different progress. The wear volume was increasing with increasing fracture toughness in the system Si₃N₄ - MgO. After maximal wear value achieving (58.03 x 10⁻³ mm³.m⁻¹ at pressing time 40 min.), the wear value decreased. In the system Si₃N₄ - YAG, the wear volume was increasing along with increasing fracture toughness. The maximal wear value (7.19 x 10⁻³ mm³.m⁻¹) has been achieved at the pressing time 40 min.

For both materials, the lowest wear value has been measured at the lowest fracture toughness value corresponding to the pressing time 5 min. The effect of fracture toughness on wear resistance was less marked. The effect of grain size and chemical composition was dominant. The excessive grains growth decreases the fracture toughness what can activate intensive microcracking [22]. The picture Y shows that the system Si₃N₄ - MgO proves several times lower wear resistance as the system Si₃N₄ - YAG.

![Fig. 9 Dependence of volume loss on fracture toughness](image)
Wear behavior may be better described by the model which reflects the effect of ratio fracture toughness / hardness on wear rate. This can be seen in Fig. 10. These relations fit very well for each separate composition. The higher the value of the calculated ratio of fracture toughness to hardness is, the higher the wear rate is for both compositions. The highest wear rate was noticed in the 5 \% MgO specimen that was pressed for 40 min. In the specimens with 10\% YAG pressed 40 min was noticed too the highest wear rate. The ratio of fracture toughness to hardness accurately describes the relationship between the decrease of wear resistance in spite of the transformation progress $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ phase to the $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ phase, and the increase in the rate of wear in spite of grain growth.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Fig_10.png}
\caption{Effect of ratio $K_{IC}$ / HV of volume loss}
\end{figure}

4 Conclusions

In this paper was evaluated the effect of preparation parameters chosen, such as chemical composition, sintering conditions in the microstructure, mechanical properties and wear resistance.

The achieved results can be summarized as follows:

- The microstructure Si$_3$N$_4$ – YAG and Si$_3$N$_4$ – MgO contains equiaxed matrix $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ grains and large elongated $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ grains too. The large grains developed with increased sintering time.

- Compositions sintered for 30 min achieved optimal combination the hardness and fracture toughness – 15.05 GPa and 6.87 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ for Si$_3$N$_4$ – MgO and 14.65 GPa and 5.71 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ for Si$_3$N$_4$ – YAG.

- Sintering additives Al$_2$O$_3$ + Y$_2$O$_3$ and MgO have influence on the type of crack indentation with Vickers indenter. Indentation cracks in Si$_3$N$_4$ with MgO additive are of Palmqvist’s type, unlike those in Si$_3$N$_4$ with Al$_2$O$_3$ + Y$_2$O$_3$ additives, which are of half-penny shape.

- Wear was mostly influenced by the hardness of ceramic materials. The specimen with the highest hardness achieved the highest wear resistance. Wear resistance of ceramics decreased with the grain growth and with the transformation progress of narrow $\alpha$- Si$_3$N$_4$ phase to prismatic $\beta$- Si$_3$N$_4$ phase. The wear resistance of the studied ceramics can be described by model $V \sim HV^{-1}$.

- Si$_3$N$_4$ – YAG in comparison to Si$_3$N$_4$ – MgO has several times greater wear resistance.
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